



Categorizing Phasor Measurement Units by Application Data Requirements

**Prepared for NASPI Performance, Requirements, Standards, and Verification
Task Team (PRSVTT) and Data and Network Management Task Team (DNMTT)**

November 2018

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Acknowledgments

Editors:

Frank Tuffner (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory) – White Paper Lead

Contributing Authors (Alphabetical Order by Last Name):

Dave Bakken – Washington State University

Raymond Hayes – ESTA International, LLC

Anthony Johnson – Southern California Edison

Harold Kirkham – Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Bill Wagner – Bonneville Power Administration

1 Overview

Phasor measurement units (PMUs) are becoming prevalent on the power system, as is their integration into advanced power system applications. Performance characteristics for the PMU devices are formalized under IEEE Standard C37.118.1-2011 [1]. Formalizing the data requirements for PMU-based applications defines characteristics for utilizing these devices. Creating a set of consistent, quantifiable requirements for different applications of PMU data helps new and existing users understand the capability and suitability of PMUs in their particular scenarios. Understanding these requirements and limitations will help PMU data users determine potentially necessary infrastructure upgrades, as well as provide indications where existing PMU deployments can be leveraged.

While the C37.118.1-2011 standard handles many aspects of the actual device, application requirements are not as formalized. In 2008, working groups for the North American Synchrophasor Initiative (NASPI) created a table and document for “Phasor Data Classification” [2]. The high-level result of these documents is shown in Figure 1. The 2008 document condensed PMU data applications into four categories and five metrics. The 2008 document includes the summary table at the top of Figure 1, which provided initial guidance for PMU applications. This table is still valid as a high-level summary, but this document seeks to examine the details of the categories, and specific applications, further. While an excellent start to defining PMU application requirements, the 2008 document is missing many modern PMU applications, and doesn’t capture many of the data stream characteristics influencing modern PMU data streams. This document expands these two deficiencies in the 2008 document.

Phasor Application Classification

August 7, 2007

* Class definition and representative application

	Small Signal Stability - Class A	State Estimator Enhancement - Class B	Post Event Analysis - Class C	Visualization - Class D
Low Latency	●	◐	◑	◒
Reliability Availability	●	◐	◑	◒
Accuracy	●	◐	◑	◒
Time Align	●	●	◑	◒
Message Rate	●	◐	◑	◒

Legend:
 ◑ Not very important
 ◒ Somewhat important
 ◐ Fairly important
 ● Critically important

* Phasor application classes based on Real-Time Team's "Phasor Taxonomy"

Application	Latency	Message Rate	Time Window	Data Requirements	Format /Protocols	Tools /Platforms	Comments
Class A	Low	High					
Small-Signal Stability Monitoring	1-5 Seconds	10 samples/sec.	10-15 Minutes	Phasor, FNET	PDCStream, IEEE C37.118	SCE Outlook, SpectralAnalysis, DSI Toolbox,	Tools/Algorithms for small-signal monitoring are specific to the nature of the data: i.e. ambient data, post-event ringdown data, probing data.
Voltage Stability Monitoring/Assessment	Few Seconds	30 samples/sec.	~ 1 hour	Phasor	PDCStream, IEEE 1344, IEEE C37.118, OPC	PSGuard, SynchroWAVE, RTDMS	- Departure from the P-V curve or voltage below limit - Estimation of thevinin equivalent parameters to approximate margins
Thermal Monitoring (Overload)	Few Seconds	30 samples/sec.	~ 1 hour	Phasor, Line Parameters	IEEE 1344, IEEE C37.118	PSGuard	Current applications require phasor measurements from both ends on the monitored line
Frequency Stability/Islanding	1-5 Seconds	30 samples/sec.	Few Minutes	Phasor, FNET	PDCStream, IEEE C37.118	RTDMS, FNET, StreamReade	
Automatic Arming of Remedial Action Schemes	~ 100 ms	30 samples/sec.	~ Minutes	Phasor	IEEE 1344, C37.118	WACS, PSPM	Research and definition on phasor measurement thresholds for arming/disarming points and tripping criteria

Figure 1: Summary table and details for 2008 Application Classification Document [2]

This document, and its corresponding Excel spreadsheet, represents the attempt to refresh the 2008 document set. A larger companion document, "NASPI Data Class Update", is also being created within the NASPI working groups to cover more detail on the application requirements. This work also inspired the creation of a data quality framework to evaluate these requirements for different PMU applications under the NASPI PMU Application Requirements Task Force (PARTF)[3]. This document is meant to provide a higher-level view of the requirements and focus more on specific requirement quantities in the table.

The corresponding Excel spreadsheet should be available as PMU_Application_Data_Requirements_2018.xlsx.

2 Application Data Metrics

This section provides some descriptions of the various columns of the corresponding Excel document. These quantities encompass various aspects of the phasor measurement unit usage, from direct data acquisition to communicating the data.

2.1 PMU Measurement Parameters

The first four metrics are focused on the acquisition characteristics of the power system phasor quantities.

Amplitude, Angle, or Frequency – This parameter is divided into two categories, precision and accuracy.

Precision: Level of detail (number of digits) specific applications require; e.g., frequency measurements with 0.01 Hz increments. Note that this will also be tied closely to CT/PT ratios and the connection of the device, but any overarching requirements should be stated here.

Accuracy: Indication of how well the measurement reflects the true system quantity, especially if it is beyond the requirements stated in the C37.118.1-2011 standard. If the values for Total Vector Error (TVE) stated within the standard are sufficient, fill this entry with “TVE”. Specific accuracy should be stated as a quantifiable value; e.g., within 1.0% voltage magnitude of the true value.

ROCOF – Rate of Change of Frequency – If an application requires a particular rate of change of frequency to properly function, this should be noted here. If the values stated within IEEE standard C37.118.1-2014a [4] are sufficient, put “STD” in this entry. While the ROCOF is stated in Hz/s, attempts should be made to quantify the interval the frequency measurement represents. E.g., 0.1 Hz/s for a frequency estimation interval of 1/60 of a second.

Frequency Range – Definition of the range or ranges of frequencies an application requires the data to represent. Note that this range represents behaviors in the captured phasor values, so it is subject to the frame sample rate. For example, a 20 Hz behavior cannot be captured without aliasing in a 30 frame/second PMU reading due to the Nyquist frequency being at 15 Hz. Values should be expressed in Hertz ranges of the electrical measurement. E.g., the application requires data in the 0.1 Hz to 0.9 Hz range.

Time Accuracy – Specific timestamp accuracy requirements for the application. If the $\pm 26 \mu\text{s}$ value for 1% TVE stated within the IEEE C37.118.1-2011 standard is sufficient, fill this entry with “STD”. Specific values should be expressed in microseconds; e.g., samples should receive time-stamps that reflect $\pm 15 \mu\text{s}$ of the actual sample time.

2.2 Delay/Quality Parameters

The second set of four metrics represents quantities to capture requirements associated with communication performance, data requirements, and sensitivities to dropped messages.

Measurement Transfer Time – Represents the maximum time from the event occurring on the power system to when the data must reach its final destination. This is “end-to-end” delay, from occurrence to the PMU acquisition, to the communication, to final decoding of the frame/packet. Note that while this document is focusing on application requirements associated with PMU data, this value may also lead to requirements on phasor data concentrator (PDC) timing. Values should be expressed in milliseconds; e.g., data frames must reach and be decoded in the central application within 16 ms of the power system event. This is an extension of “Information transfer time” from IEEE Standard 2030-2011[5].

Message Rate – Specific reporting rates required by the application. While closely tied to the frequency range metric in the previous category, this metric captures the bandwidth requirements of the application. Applications may require specific reporting rates for internal compliance or alarming. Values should be reported in reports per second; e.g., 30 reports per second. Reports are expected to follow C37.118.2-2011 [6] formatting and payload sizes. If the application has different report expectations, please indicate in the “Comments” field of the spreadsheet.

Time Window – Specific data ranges an application requires for proper operation. This may represent the typical integrating interval or analysis window of algorithms within the application and generally dictates the minimum amount of data retention required for the application. Values are expressed in seconds; e.g., application A utilizes a sliding window of 3600 seconds (1 hour).

Data Loss Sensitivity – Represents the maximum level of data lost an application can tolerate before failing. Data loss is categorized as corrupted packets, failure of delivery, or any other circumstance where the event data from the PMU does not reach the application. This may be tied closely to the message rate metric. This may also be related to measurement transfer time, as a failure to meet that transfer time could be represented as a data loss (e.g., PDC time alignment delays). Values should be expressed as either the number of reports or time interval before failure; e.g., application A generates an alarm and fails to converge if 30 frames are lost.

2.3 Other Information

The final category in the Excel table represents other considerations, existing deployments, and general comments for a specific application.

PMU Performance Class – PMU performance class is defined as the basic category to which the phasor measurement unit belongs. IEEE standard C37.118-2011 defines two classes: “M” class, for measurement devices; and “P” class, for protective devices. The largest difference between these classes is “P” classes often have a simplified filter on the phasor output stage. If an application can utilize either an “M” or “P” class PMU, a value of “X” for “don’t care” can be entered in this field. If the application has requirements that are not met by either the “M” or “P” class, a value of “N” for “New class” should be entered.

Tools/Platforms – Tools/platforms covers some example implementations of a specific application. This will be a named tool, commercial or educational, that performs the specific application and any platform requirements it requires.

Comments – This is a generic field for any future expectations, or noteworthy items that may not fit into the other categories. Caveats on the various requirements or notes on the values entered should be indicated in this field.

3 References

- [1] IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Measurements for Power Systems, IEEE Standard C37.118.1-2011, December 28, 2011.
- [2] NASPI Contributors, “Phasor Application Classification”. [Online]. Available: <https://www.naspi.org/File.aspx?fileID=604>. August 7, 2008. Accessed October 12, 2014.
- [3] NASPI Contributors, “PMU Data Quality: A Framework for the Attributes of PMU Data Quality and a Methodology for Examining Data Quality Impacts to Synchrophasor Applications”. [Online]. Available: <https://www.naspi.org/File.aspx?fileID=1689>. March 2017. Accessed November 2018.
- [4] IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Measurements for Power Systems, IEEE Standard C37.118.1-2014a, March 27, 2014.
- [5] IEEE Guide for Smart Grid Interoperability of Energy Technology and Information Technology with the Electric Power System (EPS), End-Use Applications, and Loads, IEEE Standard 2030-2011, September 10, 2011.
- [6] IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Data Transfer for Power Systems, IEEE Standard C37.118.2-2011, December 28, 2011.

4 Version/complimentary documents

Original version – March 20, 2015

Minor update – April 25, 2016

Minor revision for public release – November 2, 2018

Released in conjunction with Excel spreadsheet

[“categorizing_pmu_app_data_20181101_pnnl_28197_excel.pdf”](#).