# Avoiding Chaos: The Benefits of a Well-Structured Measurement Point Naming Convention

Establishing Standards Now Saves Time, Money, and Headaches Down the Line

## As early as possible

 Having a comprehensive measurement point naming convention may require an investment of time and effort initially, but it provides significant long-term benefits, such as reducing errors, enhancing system efficiency, and ensuring regulatory compliance. Conversely, failing to establish a convention can result in 1 operational inefficiencies, data integration issues, and increased risks of compliance failures.

# Benefits

- Improved Data Consistency:
  - A well-designed naming convention ensures that measurement points are consistently named across different systems, locations, and projects. This reduces ambiguity and makes it easier to manage and analyze data.
- Efficient Data Integration:
  - Consistent naming helps integrate data from various sources, such as SCADA, synchrophasor systems, and asset management systems. It enables seamless communication between disparate systems, saving time and reducing errors during integration.
- Simplified Troubleshooting and Maintenance:
  - Engineers and technicians can quickly understand and identify measurement points based on their names. This speeds up troubleshooting and reduces the risk of human error during maintenance activities, ultimately improving system reliability.
- Enhanced Data Search and Retrieval:
  - With standardized names, searching for specific measurement points in large databases becomes more efficient. It
    facilitates the retrieval of data for analysis, reporting, and compliance purposes, ultimately supporting better decisionmaking.
- Scalability and Future Expansion:
  - A robust naming convention allows utilities to scale their operations and add new measurement points without creating confusion. It ensures that new points can be integrated logically and without conflict, providing long-term scalability.

# Benefits

- Facilitates Regulatory Compliance:
  - Regulatory agencies often require detailed, traceable, and auditable measurement data. A naming convention ensures that measurement points are documented clearly, which helps utilities remain compliant with standards like NERC CIP and IEC 61850.
- Reduced Training Time:
  - Standardized names make it easier for new personnel to understand and work with the system. A consistent approach reduces the learning curve, making training more efficient and lowering operational costs over time.
- Better Communication Across Teams:
  - When teams (e.g., operations, maintenance, engineering, and IT) use the same naming convention, it enhances communication and reduces misunderstandings. It ensures everyone is on the same page, particularly during joint projects or emergency response.
- Minimizes Data Duplication:
  - A standardized naming scheme minimizes the risk of inadvertently creating duplicate measurement points due to different naming formats. This helps avoid data clutter and ensures better data quality.
- Support for Advanced Analytics:
  - For utility companies focusing on data-driven decision-making, a consistent naming convention is vital for applying machine learning, predictive analytics, or AI. Reliable and consistent names are critical for accurate analysis and effective use of algorithms.

# It's extra work

- Data Inconsistency:
  - Without a naming convention, measurement points may be named differently by different users, leading to inconsistency. This inconsistency can create confusion, making it difficult to cross-reference data or verify its accuracy.
- Increased Operational Complexity:
  - It becomes challenging to locate specific measurement points across systems, leading to increased time and effort for both regular operations and troubleshooting. This can lead to increased downtime during incidents.
- Data Integration Issues:
  - Integrating data from different systems becomes more complex, especially if the systems use different naming formats. This can create barriers to the efficient flow of data, requiring additional resources to standardize names during integration.
- Higher Risk of Errors:
  - Without a structured naming approach, technicians are more prone to input errors, especially when entering data
    manually. This can result in wrong data associations, incorrect measurements, or even potential safety risks during
    operations.
- Difficulty in Troubleshooting:
  - Lack of standardized names can lead to prolonged troubleshooting sessions, as operators need more time to identify and cross-check measurement points, particularly during system failures or emergencies.

## More work

- Challenges in Regulatory Compliance:
  - Compliance reporting becomes cumbersome, as inconsistency in naming makes it hard to prove the validity and accuracy of data. This can lead to compliance violations and associated penalties.
- Training Complexity:
  - Training new staff members becomes more challenging and time-consuming without a consistent naming scheme. Each person may learn different ways of interpreting the data, leading to misunderstandings and mismanagement.
- Poor Data Quality:
  - A lack of a naming convention increases the likelihood of creating duplicate or conflicting measurement points. This leads to poor data quality, making it difficult to conduct accurate analysis or produce reliable reports.
- Reduced Ability to Automate Processes:
  - Automating processes, such as data analysis or reporting, is difficult without consistent names. Automated systems rely on predictable patterns, and without these, manual intervention is often required, reducing the benefits of automation.
- Communication Breakdowns:
  - When different teams use different names for the same measurement point, communication breaks down, especially in high-stress situations like outages. This can result in delays in decision-making and increased risk of service interruptions.

# Summary

- Act Proactively: Establish and adopt a consistent naming convention at the outset. Avoid assuming it can be easily adjusted later.
- **Utilize Existing Standards**: Where possible, leverage well-established naming conventions to save time and improve consistency.
- **Engage Key Stakeholders**: Include engineers, operators, and IT personnel in the development process to ensure that the convention meets cross-departmental needs.
- Enhance Data Usability: Although not directly related to either data archiving or networking, a well-designed naming convention can dramatically enhance data usability and value.
- Address Different Needs: Naming requirements for PMUs and signals may differ between internal utility use and external use by Reliability Coordinators (RCs) or Independent System Operators (ISOs).
- Adapt to Expanding Scope: Original naming conventions were straightforward, often based on bus voltage and line current. Today, PMUs monitor a wide range of equipment, such as synchronous condensers, reactors, and more—requiring more sophisticated naming conventions.

# Minimize Manual Entry

 Developing tools to automate the generation of naming conventions is crucial for minimizing manual errors and streamlining the configuration of devices across a utility's infrastructure. Manual creation of names and data entry, while possible, is time-consuming, error-prone, and inconsistent. Automation tools provide significant benefits:

### PMU Registry v 2.1.0 Read Write mode

Owner	Electric	x   ~	View	6	Generate WISP names config
Station		×   ~	Edit	Teo I	Generate SEL Measurement Poin
Asset	1. ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	×   ~	Edit		Generate Field Name Config file
PMU Device	19.5.200	×   ~	Edit	ła	Generate Digi Discovery file
PMU Logical	10,2400,0	×   ~	Edit	12	
Signal	1. (1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	×   ~	Edit		
			-		

Selections made from dropdown lists automatically generate the names based on the naming convention

🔁 PMU Registry 🗙 🖳 SE provinging and provide 🛛 🛛 👔 PMU Registry 🕥 IT Portal 🔗 SempraNet 🗋 IS STREET. LAS PULLARS (2) B Dation LLAC (2) 8 Station: LOS COCHES (4) 8 Station : LOVELAND (5) E Station MELROSE (5) B Station MERCHANT (2) 8 Station MIGUEL (23) C Station MESSION (17) EP682 Device : MS\_13827 (1) E PMJ Device MS 23027 (2) E PMJ Device M5, 23027 (1) EPMA/Device MS\_BHSD (1) E PML Device MS. BKBD (1) EPSR/ Device MS\_BRB1 (1) E PMJ Device MS, 8K70 (1) EPMU Device MS\_BK71 (1) EPhR/Device MS\_BUILDIN (4) E PMU Device MIS, TL 13822 (1) E PMR/ Device MIS\_TL13827 (1) EPAR/ Device MS\_TL13840 (1) 8 PMJ Device MS, 7L23001 (2) EP68/ Device MS\_TL23004 (2) O PMA/ Device MIS, TL29022 (2) CFPMU Logical MB\_TL29022\_3P (10) Signal BU 2004 V1 Deprop Bill 20094 UK lignar BU 230N VB ingrial BU 2008 VC lognal CB, SN, D ignal CB ST D 210122.14 ingenation The 23022.00 agender The Ngrul 71, 27622 K E PMU Logical : M5 TL23022 5P (0) E PMJ Device : M5, 71,23023 (2) E PMJ Device MS, 71,230268 (2) B Station NORTH CITY WEST (1) 8 Station : OCEAN RANCH (2) 8 Station OCOTALO (5) B Station : OLD TOWN (8) E Station : OTAY (3) E Station : OTAY MESA (7)

- **Reduce Manual Effort and Errors**: Automated name generation tools ensure that device names are consistently created according to the established convention, reducing the risk of human error. This consistency is vital for both operational efficiency and data reliability.
- **Boost Efficiency in Device Configuration**: Automating naming during device configuration allows field technicians, engineers, and IT professionals to focus on higher-level tasks. Instead of spending time figuring out appropriate names, the process is streamlined, accelerating setup times and reducing downtime.
- Enhance Consistency Across the Grid: Automated tools ensure uniform naming conventions throughout the entire utility network. This consistency makes it easier for operators and engineers to understand, search, and analyze data regardless of the asset or location, fostering efficient troubleshooting and collaboration.
- Adapt to Complex Naming Requirements: Modern utilities often need to name devices and measurement points that go beyond simple bus voltage and line current. Automation tools can easily handle the complex naming needs that arise from monitoring devices like synchronous condensers, reactors, and distributed energy resources.
- Integrate Existing Data and Standards: Automated tools can be integrated with existing databases and SCADA systems, pulling relevant data to ensure new devices are named in line with existing standards. This integration reduces the chances of duplication and naming conflicts, making future scalability more manageable.
- **Scalable Solutions**: As utility grids expand and add more devices—especially with the increasing integration of renewable energy and complex grid components—scalable, automated naming solutions become even more valuable. They allow for rapid and consistent naming as the infrastructure grows, without introducing complexity.
- **Standardized Naming Templates**: Automation tools can incorporate standardized templates that align with the utility's requirements, ensuring every new measurement point or device follows the predefined structure. These templates can be tailored based on the specific asset type or location, ensuring that the names are informative and unique.



Just say NO

### Example Naming Conventions





Utility internal naming convention

#### RC or ISO naming convention

