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Area of Interest

- EMT modelling of RE plants and HVDC systems  
- Integration of renewable energy  
- Operation and control of the bulk power system  
- Controls of HVDC systems
Renewables in India: Present and Future

Present

Present Total Installed Capacity – 417 GW

Present RE Installed Capacity – 174 GW*

Future

68% share of Non-fossil fuels in Installed Capacity envisaged by 2031-32*

* Source: National Electricity Plan – Vol.1: Generation (Notified vide Extra ordinary Gazette No. 3189, Sl. No. 329, under part III, Section IV dated 18.05.2023

- Source: https://cea.nic.in/installed-capacity-report/?lang=en
- RE installed Capacity includes Hydro also
Performance Assessment of IBRs

- PMU located at evacuating line of RE plants
- Active, Reactive power variation with respect to variation in voltage is used to check compliance of RE plants during any event.
FRT performance

LVRT: Shall remain connected to the grid during low voltage, supply reactive power, active power may reduce during ride through if the IBR hits current limit, **active power to be restored to at least 90% of the pre-fault level within 1 sec of restoration of voltage.**

HVRT: Shall remain connected to the grid during high voltage for specified time, provide active and reactive power, active power may reduce during ride through if the IBR hits current limit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Over Voltage (p.u.)</th>
<th>Minimum time to remain Connected (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1.30 &lt; V</td>
<td>0 (instantaneous trip)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>1.30 ≥ V &gt; 1.20</td>
<td>0.2 Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>1.20 ≥ V &gt; 1.10</td>
<td>2 Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>V ≤ 1.10</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FRT- Fault ride through, HVRT-High Voltage Ride Through, LVRT-Low Voltage Ride Through
FRT performance : Compliant

Wind

Plant Capacity : 252 MW,
Connected at 220kV level,
WTG : Rating - 2.1 MW, 120 Nos

Solar

Plant Capacity : 56 MW,
Connected at 220kV level,
WTG : Rating – 3.125 MW, 18 Nos
FRT performance: Non-compliance

Wind

Plant Capacity: 300 MW,
Connected at 220kV level,
WTG: Rating - 2.1 MW & 2.3 MW, 136 Nos

Interference due to communication cable in turbines
- Electromagnetic cable sleeves provided

- Voltage dip was not significant

Wind

Plant Capacity: 230 MW,
Connected at 220kV level,
WTG: Rating – 2 MW, 2.1 MW & 2.3 MW, 111 Nos

Issue of hold time in one specific make WTG observed
- being resolved by OEM

- Hold time

- Generation loss
FRT performance : Non-compliance

Plant recovering active power after fault clearance

Inverters tripping resulting in active power reduction after fault clearance
Voltage oscillations in RE complex

Intermittent Forced Low Frequency Oscillations during solar hours

Dominant Modes
- 0.069 Hz
- 0.03 – 0.08 Hz
- 2.5 -5 Hz

Voltage oscillation magnitude (peak to peak 8-10 kV)

Frequency spectrum (FFT done in MATLAB)
**Reactive power oscillations - wind**

- **RES-8** having an installed capacity of 555 MW,
- 3 types of IBRs, 2 MW, 2.1 MW & 2.2 MW
- Total 261 nos IBRs
- Multi plant controllers (Master-Slave)
- Voltage and reactive power were in phase
- One particular make slave PPC had issue of polling rate issue
Injection of reactive power in different phase

Reactive power injection by different RE plants in different phases
Active and Reactive power oscillation in RE complex

Oscillation in voltage/reactive power

Oscillation in power

Oscillation of active power in inter regional lines
Angular variation of RE pooling station during grid events

- Oscillation in angle observed during the grid event
- Oscillation observed in power flow in outgoing transmission lines

Angular variation across pooling stations in Rajasthan RE complex during grid event on 9th Feb 2023
Model validation of RE plants

250 MW wind farm,
2.1*120 WTGs
Response seems matching with real time event

300 MW wind farm,
2.5*125 WTGs
Response not matching with real time event
Monitoring of FACTs devices

- Oscillation due to control interaction of STATCOM with RE plant
- STATCOM Blocked/Switched to Fixed Q control and oscillation subsided.
Summary

1. Synchrophasors have been effectively utilized for visualization, situational awareness and decision making in real-time

2. Synchrophasors provide good insights during post despatch analysis of IBR performance in the absence of Inverter level data

3. Limitations of analysis based on PMU data
   - Difficult to capture the performance of inverters (like response time, withdrawal time, etc.) with a data resolution of 40ms
   - Active and Reactive power observed in the PMU plots is the aggregate injection of the plant
   - Individual performance of inverters/clusters of inverters during the oscillation cannot be visualized

4. Way ahead for utilization of synchrophasors
   - Installation of PMU at POI is being considered (presently they are at the RE plant end of the evacuating line)
   - Placement of PMUs with higher frames per seconds (Presently it is 40ms)
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