

3-level measurement data validation system

Ш.

NASPI Work Group meeting

April 24-26, 2018 Albuquerque, NM Pavel Kovalenko Viktor Litvinov

from data to action





Design, Develop and Deploy digital transformation solutions for an Interconnected World.

- Power system and industrial automation
- Business Analytics, Data Warehousing and Big Data
- Information Security and Compliance



Ernst & Young Entrepreneur Of The Year*







GRT Sample Clients





Edge Computing Paradigm



Steps to enhance data quality





The progress of data validation (state estimation)

- 3 levels of multilevel data validation technology according to the resolution and duration of estimated data
 - Object-level: raw 10+ kHz sampling rate data for protection and relay due to the transient (at the substation or power plant). Hardware solutions (IED, protection and relay)
 - Region level: time synchronized data exchange between neighboring objects
 - Interconnection level: "conventional" telemetry and PMU data validation at Control Centers with advanced algorithms



Three independent parts

- 1st level:
 - Raw data is to be validated on site, the functionality is implemented as IED built-in software
 - Validated data is used for control action automation: protection and relay on site, and higher level emergency control systems
- 2nd level:
 - Time synchronized data exchange between neighboring objects (substations or power plants). Special software solution is introduced at the substation SCADA level
 - The solution provides disturbance source detection and low-frequency oscillations identification. The information is employed in LFO damping automation



Three independent parts

- > 2nd level (continued):
 - The 2nd level information is utilized for the state estimation model verification and Waiting Factors adjustment
 - Synchrophasors improve the power system model quality, dynamic power flow calculations, emergency automation, AGC, etc.
- > 3rd level (Control Centers):
 - Implemented as part of SCADA/EMS/DMS
 - 1st and 2nd levels information enhance "conventional" SE accuracy
 - Improved dynamic equivalent circuit verification capabilities



Challenges:

- The major challenge of SE is lack of raw data processing capabilities
- Asynchronous nature of raw data from various sources
- To address the challenges, the substitute data is used based on the grid equivalent circuit
- The data is obtained from SCADA or as reference values, and from 3rd party measurement infrastructure (commercial meters)
- The data from PMU provides capability of using voltage angles in order to implement a split-model SE with higher accuracy and perform equivalent circuit parameters verification in real time



CHALLENGES

- lack of raw data processing capabilities
- asynchronous nature of raw data from various sources

SOLUTION

- substitute data is used based on the grid equivalent circuit
- Substitute data sources - SCADA or commercial meters

<u>RESULT</u>

Split-model methodology provides better accuracy for the SE and the real-time circuit parameters verification



State Estimation area partitioning

- One PMU in each subsystem is enough to synchronize voltage phase angles
- The nodes with PMUs are adopted as base nodes for each subsystem
- Measurements from PMU coordinate the results of data validation for each subsystem



- Node load is a sum of consumers' loads and power losses
- Loads may be divided into:
 - Total consumption of the power system
 - Generation
 - Load
 - Power flow between interconnections

 Information about node load allows for estimating the grid section state under insufficient raw telemetry data conditions



Computing equivalent circuit parameters using PMU

- HVAC lines and transformers parameters depend on many factors, and they are constantly changing
- Data validation errors are unavoidable
- It's well known that the difference between the factory-defined equipment parameters and the actual values are
 - up to 15% for resistance and 10% for reactance of HVAC lines and transformers
 - > 25% for capacitance and over 100% for active conductance
 - the transformation ratio is up to one step of control range
- Improved SE results



- Algorithm convergence find and identify the bad measurements (data errors)
- Modified Newton's method improves the computational performance of a nonsquare function
- Huber non-square function solves this problem by inner point method



Summary

- The 3-level data validation system significantly improves the data validation
- The 3-level data validation system is a step towards the power systems digitizing, i.e. enabling the transition from outdated analogue solutions to the advanced digital environment.
- The selection of the validation level depends upon the covered territory – object, region or interconnection







www.grtcorp.com





www.facebook.com/grtcorp



www.twitter.com/grtcorp

