### Oscillation Source Locating Tool at ISO New England





**ISO** new england

### **PMUs have enabled Oscillation monitoring**

 Since installation of PMU in ISO-NE system in 2012, multiple instances of poorly damped oscillations with high MW magnitude and frequency from 0.03 Hz to 2 Hz have been detected



#### **More Examples of Oscillations**





#### **Do oscillations impose any threat?**

- Yes, the sustained oscillations can cause
  - ✓ Potential uncontrolled cascading outages
  - ✓ Undesirable mechanical vibrations in system components, which increases the probability of equipment failure, reduces the lifespan of equipment, and results in increased maintenance requirements

#### **Catastrophic consequences of rotor's vibration**

2009 Sayano-Shushenskaya power station accident, Russia \*

Turbine 2 broke apart violently. The turbine hall and engine room were flooded, the ceiling of the turbine hall collapsed, 9 of 10 turbines were damaged or destroyed, and 75 people were killed. The entire plant output, totaling 6,400 MW was lost, leading to a widespread power failure in the local area.

#### Before the accident







\* https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2009\_Sayano%E2%80%93Shushenskaya\_power\_station\_accident

### How to mitigate sustained oscillations?

- The majority of the observed oscillations are Forced Oscillations originating from generators. They are caused by the equipment and control systems failures and unplanned operating conditions
- The mitigation approach is to find the Source and:
  - ✓ Disconnect it from the network
  - ✓ Reduce MW output
  - Communicate to the power plan to find out what is going on and to develop remedial actions
  - If the Source is outside of the control area, then communicate to the Operator in the suspected area

A number of mitigation measures can be applied depending on situation

• The key step in the mitigating sustained oscillations is to find the Source of oscillations

#### What does it mean "Find the Source"?



"Find the Source" means to answer the above questions and provide the **Actionable Information** to the Operator

# Magnitude of oscillations is an unreliable indicator of the Source

- 179-bus WECC system: Generator 4 is the Source of forced oscillations at 0.86Hz creating a resonance with natural mode (case F1\*)
- MW Magnitude in other buses is much larger than at the Source
- Magnitude of oscillations cannot be a reliable indicator of the Source location



### Methods for "finding the Source"

- Variety of methods have been proposed. They are based on different properties of the oscillations:
  - ✓ Magnitude
  - ✓ Phase angle of the mode shape
  - ✓ Propagation speed
  - ✓ Statistical signature
  - ✓ Damping torque
    - Energy-based method

- All these method work well in some situations but do not work in another
- Universally efficient in variety of situations
- Works in resonance conditions
- Dissipating Energy Flow (DEF) method
  DEF\* = Energy-based method\*\* + PMU signal processing

[\*] Slava Maslennikov, Bin Wang, Eugene Litvinov "Dissipating Energy Flow Method for Locating the Source of Sustained Oscillations", to appear Electrical Power and Energy Systems, Issue 88, 2017, pp.55-62

[\*\*] L Chen, Y Min, W Hu, "An energy-based method for location of power system oscillation source," *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, 28(2):828-836, 2013

#### How does the DEF method work?

- PMU Input:  $I_{ij}$ ,  $V_i$ ,  $f_i$   $W_{ij}^{D}(t) = \int \left( \Delta P_{ij} d\Delta \theta_i + \Delta Q_{ij} d\Delta \ln V_i \right)$  $W_{ij}^{D}(t) \approx DE_{ij} \cdot t + b_{ij}$
- Output: *DE<sub>ij</sub>* coefficient at bus *i*. That is the rate of change of the transient energy
- *DE* coefficient can be viewed as a regular MW flow in terms of Source-Sink
- The Direction and the value of *DE* in multiple branches allow tracing the source of oscillations





#### How to test a source locating method?

- Sustained oscillations can have many features impacting the performance of the Source locating method (natural, forced, local, inter-area, resonance) conditions, multiple sources existing simultaneously, harmonics, etc.)
- Use of actual PMU is the ultimate test, but it is difficult to get a comprehensive set of PMU data covering all possible situations. Actual source of oscillations in real cases could be unknown, which makes the testing difficult
- Rigorous testing should include the following steps

to verify the idea ✓ Use a set of simulated cases covering a representative set of situations which can be envisioned in actual power systems.

- $\checkmark$  Use actual events when the source is known with high confidence level
- Test as many different type of events as possible

Real test for entire process

11

**Qualification test** 

### **Test case library of sustained oscillations \***

- 179 bus, 29 generator equivalent WECC system
- Contains a set of 9 cases of natural oscillations and 14 cases of forced oscillations
- The library contains a representative set of cases with sustained oscillations which can be observed in actual power systems
  - ✓ Local and Interarea modes
  - ✓ Single source single oscillatory mode
  - ✓ Multiple sources single or multiple modes
  - ✓ Resonance and near resonance conditions
  - ✓ Forcing signal creating a spectra of forced oscillations



12

<u>\* http://curent.utk.edu/research/test-cases/</u>

#### The DEF method Test Results

• Simulated cases - test case library of sustained oscillations

Description	Test Results
All 9 cases of poorly damped natural oscillations	Pass 🗸
All 14 cases of forced oscillations	Pass 🗸

• Actual events in ISO-NE and WECC

Description	Test Results
More than 30 cases from ISO-NE	Pass 🗸
Two cases from WECC	Pass 🗸

### **Online Oscillation Management concept at ISO-NE**

• Any oscillation triggered alarm is characterized and reported to the designated personnel



#### **Online OSL tool**



### Forced Oscillations (FO) Originated Outside ISO-NE

• June 17,2016; oscillations of 0.22-0.28Hz, up to RMS=11MW observed in many locations of ISO-NE during 45 minutes



#### Time domain data

#### Results of the DEF method

DE flow in 345kV lines at NE-NY border



## DE flow indicates that the source is located outside ISO-NE

Ability to identify whether the Source located Inside or Outside of control area

#### FO Originated from a Combined Cycle Unit, 0.08Hz

• October 3, 2016; oscillations of 0.08Hz, RMS=7MW observed around a big power plant with Combined Cycle units



#### Time domain data

#### Results of the DEF method

DE flow in 345kV lines around suspect power plant



#### DE flow indicates G1 as the source

### FO Originated from the Excitation Failure

• June 15, 2016; oscillations of 1.32Hz were caused by the failure of the excitation system of one of three units operating at the same conditions



#### Results of the DEF method

DE flow in 345kV lines around suspect power plant



#### DE flow indicates G3 as the source

Ability to identify a specific generator within power plant as the Source

### **Potentially Enabling New PMU-based Application**

• Decentralized, online estimation of the contribution of a power plant/generator into the damping of a specific oscillatory mode



#### Conclusions

- The DEF method in testing has demonstrated high efficiency in locating the source of oscillations
  - ✓ Identification on whether the source is located **inside** or **outside** of control area
  - ✓ Identification of a suspect substation
  - ✓ Identification of a suspect **specific generator** within power plant
- ISO-NE plans to implement the DEF method in an online version of the Oscillation Source Locating (OSL) tool
- Online OSL is expected to be a significant milestone in the deployment of PMU in the Control Room as delivering an **Actionable Information**

## Questions



