

Data & Network Management Task Team

February 25th 2010 Austin, TX



• • D&NMTT Charter

 Data & Network Management Task Team - The scope of the Data and Network Management Task Team includes the development of the hardware and software requirements to collect and store the PMU data at a master storage site(s). The group is also responsible for defining the communications requirements from the PMU(s) or local storage site(s) to the master storage site(s), and development of future network architecture options.

Austin, TX Team Composition

WSU Dave Bakken Supreet Oberoi RTI Galen SRI Rasche Dave McKinnon PNNL Matt Hauer PNNL Mike Bianco Bridge Energy (WISP) SRI Michael Dittmer Bill Muston Oncor Brancaccio Bridge Energy (WISP) Dan Keith Mitchell MISO Prakash Ercot Shrejtha John Lampe SCE Mark Thomas Entergy Waddell Long Siemens Yi Hu Quanta Fernando Sanchez RTI Rakesh Bobba UIUC Himanshu Khurana UIUC

Russell Robertson TVA Simon EPG Mo DOE NETL Brian Mollohan Kirk Stewart WECC Armenia Andrew RPI Stan Schneider RTI Reilly Assoc. Jim Reilly Milton Holloway CCET FPL Schafer Joe KC Rubal Entergy Brian Gaucher IBM Tim Yardlev UIUC Erich Heine UIUC Anderson Dave WSU SCE Dave Sweenev PJM David Ulmer Burnham FERC Dave Deryk Yuill **Bow Networks** Sevcik Centerpoint Enerrgy Don

Task team leadership:

EPRI

SRP

Paul Myrda Kris Koellner pmyrda@epri.com kmkoelln@srpnet.com

DNMTT breakout agenda

- Himanshu Kharana, UIUC NASPInet security requirements, challenges, opportunities
- Supreet Oberoi, RTI Phasor Gateway proof of concept demonstration
- Dave Bakken, WSU NASPInet Data Bus properties and requirements
- John Gillerman, SISCO Standards based approach to NASPInet

Some NASPInet History

 NASPI has thought through many of the design considerations required for a wide-area phasor network. These are realized in the NASPInet concept.



• The Spec Documents + Use Case Report + PIM are a starting point for ARRA SGIG awardees. These are available at <u>http://www.naspi.org/naspinet.stm</u>

NASPInet Architecture Features

- [Massively] De-centralized
 - More onus on asset owner, less on a centralized host
 - Current system is not scalable
- Differentiated classes for different application types
 - Not all PMU installations are considered equal
- Phasor Gateway concept introduced
- Signal level access-control lists for each data set
- Self-describing, common naming convention
- Meta data for each device
- Based on publish-subscribe model
- Multicast capability

• • Path Forward

- Use NASPInet if:
 - You need to robustly & securely publish and subscribe phasor data in multiple formats with variable QoS among many external entities.
- Don't use NASPInet if:
 - You're just sending data from PMUs to a centralized PDC for storage and/or visualization.
- There may also be several instances of NASPInet that are not interconnected.
- Down the road: PMU Registry (1st instance of NASPInet core service), NASPInet interoperability test bed
- Establish a NASPInet WG among the SGIG award winners

NASPI D&NMTT 2010 Focus

- Industry outreach & education
 - Work with SGIG awardees
 - Technical papers & FAQ
- Technical advancement
 - Expansion of NASPInet use cases
 - Platform independent model
 - API development
- Administration, roles & responsibilities
 - NASPInet governance \rightarrow Internet analogy
 - Architecture review board
 - PMU Registry



Thank you for participating!

