

Data & Network Management Task Team Report Out

Co-Chairs: Dan Brancaccio, Bridge Energy Jim McNierney, NYISO February 21, 2013 Huntington Beach, CA

DNMTT Breakout Agenda

- Dave Bakken, WSU announced October workshop on distributed computing in Vancouver
- Quick discussion on DNMTT questionnaire
- Dan Brancaccio, WISP -Discuss the use of COMTRADE for exchange of event data
- Scott Sternfeld, EPRI Latency in a synchrophasor network
- Dan Lutter, Allied Partners Providing an alternate Time Source within WAN
- Magnus Danielson, Net Insight Network Service Levels affecting Grid Stability
- Bob Braden, DETER/USC An Internut View on NASPInet

COMTRADE for Event Data

Dan Brancaccio, Bridge Energy/WISP

 WECC facility for providing event data (to WECC members only)

- Auto-generated reports
- COMTRADE specifications
 - Brief History, Latest revision of standard
 - Walked through several examples
 - File types ASCII, Binary
- Future topics (to be handled on TT calls)
 - Address difficulties .INF component
 - Handling of missing data

EPRI – Latency Study

Paul Myrda, Scott Sternfield, EPRI

- Presented some latency testing results that were just completed
 - Simulated WAN in lab followed by deployment in WAN (Knoxville, KY to Lenox, MA – 700 mi)
 - Modifying configurations on servers, within applications to address buffering
 - Use of Unicast / Multicast C37.118
 - Modified network traffic (decreased # of signals)

• Future Tests

(add encryption, 61850-90-5, adding QoS)

• • GPS Alternative

Dan Lutter – Allied Partners

- Time Transfer over IP (TToIP) Overlay Networks using NIMBRA product
- "non-disruptive" overlay network approach to provide resilient network clocking and synchronization as a backup for or alternative to GPS.
- Improvements to quality of service and guaranteed data delivery

Communication Time Delay Effects in Power Systems Net Insight – Magnus Danielson

- Early research on network service levels required to maintain power grid stability using PMU's for active mode damping in large-scale WAMPAC systems.
- Presentation provided an overview of the project, showing some of the simulations that have already been done.

An InterNut Look at NASPInet

Bob Braden, USC / DETER

- Taking lessons learned from the evolution of the Internet (IETF) and applying them to the goals and implementation of a NASPInet
- Needless re-inventing of the wheel is costly
- Interoperability is essential
- Don't over-optimize (the future will be upon you sooner than you think), keep it simple
- Two different NASPInet functions:
 - Delivery of PMU data streams
 - Closed loop control
 - Should we have two networks? or one optimized



Thank you for participating!