

Disturbance-Based PPMV Overview

Ryan Quint, NERC NASPI-NERC PPMV Tools Workshop October 2016





PPMV Framework















Disturbance-Based Verification Comparison

PLAY-IN DATA (INPUTS)



MEASURES OF SUCCESS (OUTPUTS)



What a Good Model Looks Like



- Approximates general shape of response very well
- Minor differences between events



What a Bad Model Looks Like



- Does not approximate general shape of response well
- Substantial differences in comparison (between events)



Reliability Guideline: PPMV using PMUs

- Guideline approved by NERC
 Planning Committee Sept 2016
- Overview of mechanics and considerations for performing disturbance-based verification
- Appendix of available playback model and tools
- First step in supporting industry with model verification





- Purpose: Raise industry awareness of disturbance-based Power Plant Model Verification (PPMV) using PMU data and tools available to industry
- Event Playback Demonstration Session: Demos of commercially available tools for model verification using test datasets
 - Functionality, features, execution, inputs, and management of data
- Model Calibration Session: Illustration of calibration techniques using test datasets developed by workshop organizers
 - Description of method
 - Testing on base case
 - Comparison of results



- Each base case provided includes a single unit, generator stepup (GSU) transformer, and equivalent system model.
 - 1 System Equivalent Bus
 - 101 GSU High-Side Bus
 - 102 GSU Low-Side Bus
 - Branch 1-101 is equivalent jumper line
 - Used for monitoring, impedance < jumper threshold





- PMU measures the following quantities at the high-side of the generator step-up (GSU) transformer
 - Bus Voltage Magnitude and Angle
 - Bus Frequency
 - Active and Reactive Power







Questions?

